



Brother John Martin

UNIVERSAL CHRIST: UNION OF A SAGE AND A PROPHET

The question is often asked: Can Jesus Christ be placed within the category of the Abrahamic religions? At first glance, the answer seems obvious. Jesus is born within the history of Israel, speaks the language of the prophets, and addresses the God of Abraham. He stands firmly within that tradition. Yet, if we listen carefully to his experience of God, something begins to exceed the boundaries of that framework. Jesus does not merely continue a tradition; he transforms its very horizon. His experience of God, while rooted in the prophetic tradition, opens toward a depth that resonates strikingly with the insights of the Upanishads.

1. The Abrahamic Framework: God and the Human Person

The Abrahamic traditions—Judaism, Christianity, and Islam—are structured around a fundamental distinction: God is the Creator, and the human being is the creature. The relationship is real, intimate, and dynamic, but it preserves a clear ontological difference.

In this framework:

God speaks, and the human responds

God commands, and the human obeys

God saves, and the human receives

The prophetic tradition reaches its height in ethical monotheism: love of God and love of neighbor, justice, and transformation of society. It is a powerful and necessary vision. Yet, it tends to guard the transcendence of God so strongly that any claim of deep identity with God can appear as a violation.

2. The Upanishadic Insight: Identity at the Source

In contrast, the wisdom of the Upanishads moves in another direction. Here, the deepest discovery is not merely relationship but identity at the source.

The great mahāvākya declares: Atman is Brahman.

This does not mean that the empirical ego is God. Rather, it points to a profound realization: at the deepest level of being, the ground of the self and the ground of reality are one. The journey is not only toward God, but into the realization that one's deepest essence is rooted in the divine.

This is not primarily ethical or historical—it is ontological and experiential.

3. The Shock of Jesus' Words

It is precisely here that the words of Jesus Christ become striking:

“The Father and I are one.” (John 10:30)

Within a strictly Abrahamic framework, such a statement can sound like blasphemy. It appears to collapse the distinction between Creator and creature.

And in fact, in the Gospel narrative, this is exactly how it is perceived: as a dangerous claim.

But what if this statement is not a denial of transcendence, but a revelation of depth?

What if Jesus is not abolishing the distinction, but unveiling the source from which both God and human relationship emerge?

In this sense, his words resonate deeply with the Upanishadic realization—not at the level of doctrine, but at the level of experience.

4. Beyond Identity: The Originality of Christ

If Jesus only affirmed identity, he could be placed alongside the sages of the Upanishads. But his vision does not stop there.

His originality lies in a profound integration:

From the Upanishadic vision: depth of identity with the divine source

From the prophetic tradition: love of neighbor, justice, and transformation of history



In many mystical traditions, realization can tend toward withdrawal from the world. In the prophetic tradition, engagement with the world is central, but often without a corresponding depth of ontological realization.

Jesus unites both movements.

5. From Identity to Relationship

In Jesus, the realization of unity with the Father does not lead to isolation, but to radical relationality.

Union with God becomes the source of compassion

Identity becomes the foundation of self-giving love

Transcendence becomes the energy of historical transformation

This is a decisive shift. The movement is not:

Union → Withdrawal

but:

Union → Transformation → Sharing

This is where Jesus cannot be confined either to the Abrahamic framework alone or to the Upanishadic vision alone. He stands at a point of convergence—and transformation.

6. The Risk of Misunderstanding

To interpret Jesus only within the Abrahamic framework risks reducing his message to obedience, morality, and external relationship with God.

To interpret him only through the lens of the Upanishads risks dissolving his message into pure interiority, neglecting history, justice, and relationship.

Both readings capture something true—but neither is complete.

7. The Universal Horizon of Christ

The experience of Jesus Christ opens a new horizon:

God is not only beyond us, but also within us

The human person is not only a servant, but also a living expression of the divine

Spiritual life is not only ascent, but also descent into the world as love

In this vision, the deepest realization of unity with God does not end the journey—it begins a new one: the manifestation of divine life in relationships, history, and creation.

8. Conclusion: Beyond Categories

So, can Jesus be placed within the Abrahamic religions?

Historically, yes.

Theologically and experientially, not fully.

He belongs to them, yet he also exceeds them.

He brings together:

the depth of being discovered in the Upanishads

the urgency of love and justice proclaimed by the prophets

And in doing so, he opens a path that moves:

from identity → to transformation → to shared divine life

Jesus is not simply a figure within a category.

He is a threshold—

where traditions meet, deepen, and are transformed.